Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/519,390	CHUNG ET AL.	HUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CHERIE M. WOODWARD	1647		
All Participants:	Status of Application: Response to Non-Final			
(1) <u>Cherie M. Woodward</u> .	(3) <u>Gary Connell</u> .			
(2) <u>Robert Traver</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 16 March 2009	Time: <u>12:20pm</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative Rob Traver agreed to the amendment to the title of the case (on 3/12/2009) and Applicant's representative Gary Connell agreed with the proposed Examiner's Amendment to amend the claims (on 3/16/2009) and pass the case to issue.				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
/Cherie M. Woodward/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)	